



**Sherwood Comprehensive Plan Community Advisory Committee Meeting #4**  
**November 13, 2019, 6:35pm-8:10pm**

---

**ATTENDEES:**

**CAC Members:**

Corey Kearsley  
Thomas Quesenberry  
Tess Kies  
Rachel Schoening  
Jim Fisher  
Angi Ford  
Jean Simson  
Russell Griffin

**General Public:**

Eugene Stewart

**Staff/Consultants:**

Erika Palmer – City of Sherwood  
Joy Chang – City of Sherwood  
Anais Mathez - 3J Consulting

**MEETING NOTES:**

Erika welcomed the group to the fourth Community Advisory Committee for the Comprehensive Plan Update. The latest meeting packets were made available to all committee members.

Anais Mathez, 3J Consulting, started the presentation by providing a project recap of the Comprehensive Plan project and summarized Theme 2: Strong Community, Culture and Heritage process and outreach.

Part of the outreach effort for Theme 2: Strong Community, Culture, and Heritage was an on-line survey imbedded with the 2040 Sherwood Comp Plan webpage. The survey was distributed the in the ways as that of the Economic Development survey #1, and the Parks Master Plan Update. City email lists including utility billing, social media posts, distribution through other community email (Chamber, Main Street, etc.); were used to inform the community about the survey. One-hundred and four (104) individuals completed the survey and provided the following summary:

- *The majority of respondents **think that all of the policies presented were Somewhat or Very Important.***
  - *Respondents think Policies 1.2, 2.1 and 2.2 are the most important and think that Policies 1.1 and 3.1 are slightly less important.*
- *Respondents place great importance in Sherwood's sense of community and expressed a strong desire to **maintain this community feel even as Sherwood grows.***
- *Main reasons for opposition:*
  - *Opposition to increased taxes*
  - *The belief that the government should focus on basic services like transportation and housing*
  - *The idea that it is not the government's role to get involved with culture and community*

CAC members provided the following comments on the survey:

- The number of responders and results of the survey identify where funding needs to be prioritized (e.g., Theme 2 may not be a priority to the community).
- People may not know about Sherwood's history/heritage.

Anais followed with a presentation of the policies and objectives for Theme 2: Strong Community, Culture and Heritage. CAC members were asked to consider the following three questions while reviewing the draft policies and objectives:

1. *Do we want to acknowledge the history of this area further back than the founding of the city of Sherwood?*
2. *Do these policies adequately embrace the changing world and increasingly diverse regional demographics?*
3. *Do these policies reflect social, cultural, and financial differences in the community?*

CAC members were invited to ask questions and provide comment on the draft policies and objectives. The meeting turned over to a group discussion and CAC comments included:

- Policy 1.1 – Reword the policy with the elimination of the word “*underrepresented.*”

- Objective 1.1.1 – Include the word “*Create and*” ... to the first sentence.
- The City needs a Public Improvement Plan and a resource site on the City webpage.
- Policy 1.2 - Add new objective that speaks to stewardship versus partnership of historical and cultural resources (e.g. historical society).
- General discussion on history, how far back (e.g. Native Americans, scablands, Tonquin floods, city incorporations, etc.)
- Objective 1.2.4 – Discussion on historic registry: if designated as historic, it could burden the property owners.
- Objective 2.2.3 – The objective is too limiting by identifying only city planning. Objective needs to be broaden.
- Objective 3.1.1 – General discussion on facilities that were listed in the community profile and not explicitly mentioned in the objectives.

#### Announcements

- Erika announced the next CAC meeting date will be Wednesday, January 15<sup>th</sup>.

#### Public Comments

- Eugene Stewart provided public comments and stated the following:
  - Planning Commissions and City Council members should not be included as part of the CAC.
  - Suggested that all CAC members review the existing Comp Plan policies and determine what’s working and what’s not.
  - Sherwood is growing and neighborhood representation with liaisons would be a good way for people to participate in decision-making and to get information from the City.
  - Five-minute allocation for public comments is too short.
  - People testify, but don’t get answers.

Erika thanked the committee and public for attending. She adjourned the meeting at 8:10pm.